

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/018,339	HARZ ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	SUSAN HANLEY	1651	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** non-final

- (1) SUSAN HANLEY. (3) \_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Dr. Roberte Makowski. (4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 February 2009

**Time:** 3:00

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*11-17, 19-21, 23, 25 and 27-31*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Dr. Makowski agreed to the proposed examiner's amendment to bring the case into condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)